

Developer Tools Accessibility Conformance Report

Based on VPAT® 2.5 WCAG 2.2 and Revised Section 508 Edition

Report information

Product	Developer Tools
Product description	The web-based developer tools for Laserfiche Cloud, including the Developer Console for configuring the settings of OAuth applications that use the Laserfiche API, and the OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server that lets registered client applications running outside Laserfiche Cloud make authorized API calls to access protected resources.
Report date	2026-07-10
Last modified	2026-07-10
Report version	1
Contact	Laserfiche accessibility@laserfiche.com https://www.laserfiche.com/
License	CC-BY-ND-4.0

Notes

This Accessibility Conformance Report presents the results of Laserfiche's accessibility evaluation of Developer Tools. Conformance was evaluated against WCAG 2.1 (Level A and AA). Success criteria outside the evaluated scope — including later WCAG 2.2 additions and all Level AAA criteria that were not tested — are listed as "Not Evaluated" rather than assigned an untested rating. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server portion was additionally evaluated by external suppliers under the DHS Trusted Tester Program. This report consolidates the evaluations of: Developer Console (WCAG 2.1, 2023-03); OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server (WCAG 2.1, 2023-03).

Evaluation methods used

Manual testing combined with general product knowledge and assistive-technology testing using the NVDA screen reader, plus automated auditing with Google Lighthouse, the WCAG Color

Contrast Checker, and the Siteimprove Accessibility Checker.

Applicable standards and guidelines

This report covers the degree of conformance for the following accessibility standards and guidelines:

- Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.2 — <https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG22/>
- Revised Section 508 standards published January 18, 2017 and corrected January 22, 2018 — <https://www.access-board.gov/ict/>

Terms

The terms used in the conformance level information are defined as follows:

Supports

The functionality of the product has at least one method that meets the criterion without known defects or meets with equivalent facilitation.

Partially Supports

Some functionality of the product does not meet the criterion.

Does Not Support

The majority of product functionality does not meet the criterion.

Not Applicable

The criterion is not relevant to the product.

Not Evaluated

The product has not been evaluated against the criterion. This can only be used in WCAG Level AAA criteria.

Table 1: Success Criteria, Level A

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
1.1.1: Non-text Content	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
1.2.1: Audio-only and Video-only (Prerecorded)	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No audio or video content.
1.2.2: Captions (Prerecorded)	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No audio or video content.

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
1.2.3: Audio Description or Media Alternative (Prerecorded)	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No audio or video content.
1.3.1: Info and Relationships	Web: Does Not Support	Web: OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: the major text content is not read by a screen reader. Developer Console: some form labels (such as app name/description), information in code blocks (app manifests, access keys), and radio buttons in the create-app modal are not read by a screen reader.
1.3.2: Meaningful Sequence	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: radio buttons (create-key and create-access-key modals) and code blocks (create-access-key and export-manifest modals) cannot be navigated with the Tab key.
1.3.3: Sensory Characteristics	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
1.4.1: Use of Color	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
1.4.2: Audio Control	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No audio controls are used.
2.1.1: Keyboard	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: some controls cannot be reached by keyboard (or are not highlighted), including the export-manifest copy button, radio buttons in the create-key/create-app modals, and the copy/download buttons in the create-key, create-app, and export-manifest modals.
2.1.2: No Keyboard Trap	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
2.1.4: Character Key Shortcuts	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
2.2.1: Timing Adjustable	Web: Does Not Support	Web: Developer Console: timing cannot be adjusted on temporal controls such as toasts. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server has no timed content.
2.2.2: Pause, Stop, Hide	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No moving, blinking, scrolling, or auto-updating content.

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
2.3.1: Three Flashes or Below Threshold	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
2.4.1: Bypass Blocks	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
2.4.2: Page Titled	Web: Does Not Support	Web: OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: the page title is the company name and is not descriptive. Developer Console: pages are hard to distinguish without a unique identifier such as an app name/id.
2.4.3: Focus Order	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
2.4.4: Link Purpose (In Context)	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
2.5.1: Pointer Gestures	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No pointer gestures are used.
2.5.2: Pointer Cancellation	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No action is executed on the down-event of any element.
2.5.3: Label in Name	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: form inputs have text labels but do not use aria-labels. OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: some elements are missing aria-labels.
2.5.4: Motion Actuation	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No motion actuation.
3.1.1: Language of Page	Web: Does Not Support	Web: OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: the lang attribute of the html element is fixed to "en". The Developer Console supports this criterion.
3.2.1: On Focus	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
3.2.2: On Input	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
3.2.6: Consistent Help	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.3.1: Error Identification	Web: Does Not Support	Web: Developer Console: form errors are not read aloud by a screen reader. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server requires no user input.

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
3.3.2: Labels or Instructions	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: form controls are visually labeled but do not use aria-labels. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server requires no user input.
3.3.7: Redundant Entry	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
4.1.1: Parsing	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Some element IDs are not unique in both areas.
4.1.2: Name, Role, Value	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: some form fields are not labeled and some buttons lack a text alternative (the overflow button on the app-list page and the app-picker button). The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server exposes name, role, and value for all components.

Table 2: Success Criteria, Level AA

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
1.2.4: Captions (Live)	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No live audio or streaming.
1.2.5: Audio Description (Prerecorded)	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No pre-recorded audio.
1.3.4: Orientation	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
1.3.5: Identify Input Purpose	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
1.4.3: Contrast (Minimum)	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: some elements do not pass, such as description text in the Create App modal and some disabled button states. OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: some copyright links and buttons lack the required contrast.

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
1.4.4: Resize text	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: some UI elements are hidden when zoomed to 200%, such as the "New" button on the Applications page. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server resizes text to 200% without loss.
1.4.5: Images of Text	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
1.4.10: Reflow	Web: Does Not Support	Web: Developer Console: most elements are clipped, require horizontal scrolling, or are hidden altogether. OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: vertical scrolling is not available on the consent page.
1.4.11: Non-text Contrast	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: several elements lack a visual focus indicator (left sidebar buttons, app buttons on the Applications page, the app overflow button, radio buttons, and copy/download buttons on Access Key modals). OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: the checkbox on the consent page has no visual boundary.
1.4.12: Text Spacing	Web: Does Not Support	Web: Developer Console: text inputs have text cut off. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server presents text properly when spacing styles are applied.
1.4.13: Content on Hover or Focus	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
2.4.5: Multiple Ways	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
2.4.6: Headings and Labels	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
2.4.7: Focus Visible	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: several controls lack a visible focus indicator (see 1.4.11). OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: some text blocks do not receive focus during keyboard navigation, so focus is not visible.
2.4.11: Focus Not Obscured (Minimum)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
2.5.7: Dragging Movements	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.5.8: Target Size (Minimum)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.1.2: Language of Parts	Web: Not Applicable	Web: Each page uses a single language at a time.
3.2.3: Consistent Navigation	Web: Supports	Web: No remarks.
3.2.4: Consistent Identification	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: some dialog buttons are inconsistent on the Applications page. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server is single-page (not applicable there).
3.3.3: Error Suggestion	Web: Does Not Support	Web: Developer Console: form errors are not read aloud by a screen reader (see 3.3.1). The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server requires no user input.
3.3.4: Error Prevention (Legal, Financial, Data)	Web: Not Applicable	Web: No legal, financial, or other sensitive data.
3.3.8: Accessible Authentication (Minimum)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
4.1.3: Status Messages	Web: Partially Supports	Web: Developer Console: error messages are not announced (see 3.3.1). The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server has no status messages.

Table 3: Success Criteria, Level AAA

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
1.2.6: Sign Language (Prerecorded)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
1.2.7: Extended Audio Description (Prerecorded)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
1.2.8: Media Alternative (Prerecorded)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
1.2.9: Audio-only (Live)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
1.3.6: Identify Purpose	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
1.4.6: Contrast (Enhanced)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
1.4.7: Low or No Background Audio	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
1.4.8: Visual Presentation	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
1.4.9: Images of Text (No Exception)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.1.3: Keyboard (No Exception)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.2.3: No Timing	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.2.4: Interruptions	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.2.5: Re-authenticating	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.2.6: Timeouts	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.3.2: Three Flashes	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.3.3: Animation from Interactions	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.4.8: Location	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.4.9: Link Purpose (Link Only)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.4.10: Section Headings	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.4.12: Focus Not Obscured (Enhanced)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.4.13: Focus Appearance	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
2.5.5: Target Size (Enhanced)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
2.5.6: Concurrent Input Mechanisms	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.1.3: Unusual Words	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.1.4: Abbreviations	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.1.5: Reading Level	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.1.6: Pronunciation	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.2.5: Change on Request	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.3.5: Help	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.3.6: Error Prevention (All)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.
3.3.9: Accessible Authentication (Enhanced)	Web: Not Evaluated	Web: No remarks.

Chapter 3: Functional Performance Criteria (FPC)

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
302.1: Without Vision	Does Not Support	OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: major text is not read by a screen reader, so the product cannot be operated without vision. Developer Console: missing some aria-labels.
302.2: With Limited Vision	Does Not Support	OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: major text is not read by a screen reader, so the product cannot be operated with limited vision. Developer Console: some contrast issues.
302.3: Without Perception of Color	Partially Supports	Developer Console: missing some visual focus indicators on buttons. OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: color is not the main identifier of most elements, but it is for links.
302.4: Without Hearing	Supports	No remarks.
302.5: With Limited Hearing	Supports	No remarks.

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
302.6: Without Speech	Supports	No remarks.
302.7: With Limited Manipulation	Supports	No remarks.
302.8: With Limited Reach and Strength	Supports	No remarks.
302.9: With Limited Language, Cognitive, and Learning Abilities	Supports	No remarks.

Chapter 4: Hardware

Developer Tools is a software product; Section 508 hardware criteria are not applicable.

This chapter is not included in this report.

Chapter 5: Software

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
502.2.1: User Control of Accessibility Features	Does Not Support	No accessibility features are provided.
502.2.2: No Disruption of Accessibility Features	Supports	No remarks.
502.3.1: Object Information	Partially Supports	Missing some ARIA tags.
502.3.2: Modification of Object Information	Does Not Support	OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: the radio button cannot be set programmatically. Developer Console: some controls, such as radio buttons, cannot be set.
502.3.3: Row, Column, and Headers	Does Not Support	OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: no row/column/header structure is exposed (no hierarchical structure). The Developer Console supports this criterion.
502.3.4: Values	Supports	No remarks.

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
502.3.5: Modification of Values	Supports	No remarks.
502.3.6: Label Relationships	Partially Supports	Developer Console: some labels could be clearer. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server supports label relationships.
502.3.7: Hierarchical Relationships	Does Not Support	OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: no hierarchical relationships are exposed. The Developer Console supports this criterion.
502.3.8: Text	Does Not Support	OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: major text is not read by a screen reader. Developer Console: some text, such as manifests and access keys, is not read aloud.
502.3.9: Modification of Text	Supports	No remarks.
502.3.10: List of Actions	Does Not Support	The list of available actions is not exposed to assistive technology.
502.3.11: Actions on Objects	Does Not Support	Available actions on objects cannot be executed through assistive technology.
502.3.12: Focus Cursor	Partially Supports	Developer Console: some inputs do not receive focus, such as radio buttons and copy/download buttons. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server supports the focus cursor.
502.3.13: Modification of Focus Cursor	Does Not Support	The focus cursor cannot be programmatically modified.
502.3.14: Event Notification	Partially Supports	Developer Console: some error messages are not read aloud. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server has no notification features such as success/error messages or toasts.
502.4: Platform Accessibility Features	Does Not Support	Platform accessibility features are not supported.
503.2: User Preferences	Does Not Support	User preferences set at the platform level are not honored.
503.3: Alternative User Interfaces	Does Not Support	Developer Console: alternative user interfaces are not supported. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server rated this not applicable (no alternative user interface).

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
503.4.1: Caption Controls	Not Applicable	No caption controls.
503.4.2: Audio Description Controls	Not Applicable	No audio-description controls.
504.2: Content Creation or Editing	Not Evaluated	No remarks.
504.2.1: Preservation of Information Provided for Accessibility in Format Conversion	Not Applicable	No authoring tools involved.
504.2.2: PDF Export	Not Applicable	No authoring tools involved.
504.3: Prompts	Not Applicable	No authoring tools involved.
504.4: Templates	Not Applicable	No authoring tools involved.

Chapter 6: Support Documentation and Services

Criteria	Conformance level	Remarks and explanations
602.2: Accessibility and Compatibility Features	Does Not Support	Support documentation does not describe the product's accessibility and compatibility features.
602.3: Electronic Support Documentation	Does Not Support	OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server: electronic support documentation does not meet WCAG 2.x (see the WCAG results).
602.4: Alternate Formats for Non-Electronic Support Documentation	Does Not Support	Developer Console: alternate formats for non-electronic support documentation are not provided. The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server rated this not applicable because its documentation is available electronically.
603.2: Information on Accessibility and Compatibility Features	Does Not Support	Support services do not provide information on the product's accessibility and compatibility features.
603.3: Accommodation of Communication Needs	Does Not Support	Support services do not accommodate the communication needs of people with disabilities.

Legal disclaimer

This Laserfiche® Accessibility Conformance Report is for informational purposes only and is subject to change without notice. Compulink Management Center, Inc. dba Laserfiche ("Laserfiche") MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, IN THIS DOCUMENT, and cannot guarantee the accuracy of any information presented after the date of publication. Laserfiche disclaims any liability with respect to this document and no contractual obligations are formed either directly or indirectly by this document. Customization of the product voids this conformance statement from Laserfiche. Customers may make independent conformance statements if they have conducted due diligence to meet all relevant requirements for their customization. Laserfiche further makes no representation concerning the ability of assistive technologies or other products to interoperate with its products. This document addresses the named product(s) only and not prerequisite products for which Laserfiche may supply restricted use licenses. Please consult with Assistive Technology (AT) vendors for compatibility specifications of specific AT products.

This report is also available in the machine-readable OpenACR format at <https://accessibility.laserfiche.com/>. OpenACR is a format maintained by the GSA. VPAT® is a registered trademark of the Information Technology Industry Council (ITI).